

Responses to Questions about Impartiality
James V. Bruckner, Ph.D.

1. I do not know of any reason I might not be able to provide impartial advice on the matter that may come before the committee regarding any of the 7 listed chemicals.
2. I have not had any involvement with the review document(s) under consideration for any of the 7 chemicals.
3. I have served on several federal and some state advisory panels and subcommittees dealing with health effects of 5 of the 7 chemicals. The last such functions were as follows:

1-Bromopropane (2016) – Reviewer of a document entitled “Criteria for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Exposure to 1-Bromopropane, NIOSH.

Methylene Chloride (2016) – Reviewer of a document entitled “Proposed Adoption of Paint and Varnish Strippers Containing Methylene Chloride as a Priority Product, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California EPA.

Trichloroethylene (2013) – Reviewer of the update of the Toxicological Profile for Trichloroethylene, ATSDR/CDC.

Perchloroethylene (2008) – Reviewer of a research report entitled “Perchloroethylene (PERC): Immunotoxicity Potential in CRL: CD(SD) Rats Following a 4-Week Vapor Inhalation Exposure,” Office of Science, ATSDR/CDC.

Carbon Tetrachloride (2007) – Peer reviewer of Health Canada health effects assessment document entitled “Carbon Tetrachloride in Drinking Water.”

4. I have not made public statements on the issue(s) indicating to an observer that I have taken a position on issue(s) under consideration.